

Combined and Uneven Development – A Report on Capitalist Artistic Projects and Periodic Crisis of Living and in fact the Truth of the Poorest – Only India, Cuba are then authentic to the poor.

By Shomit Sirohi

Combined forms are then what is called combined capital, which then has a capitalist who then directs the company and also is capitalist-person. I mean here it is also about another capitalist-person. But the fact of combined structures then means that there is a creative crisis at most, and that is because Marx's analysis in German is about artistic working structures and in Grundrisse about in fact creative forms of interaction. It means in a creative interaction the process then develops to the business-decisions and profit-seeking innovations, and creative projects which finally can mean periodic crises which is currently "curation crisis" which then forms into a transaction which succeeds in steps.

Combined developments then in the world are this creative interaction which then is also combined mainstreaming of capitals and is also finally international and national capital which is about, the process of surplus-extraction and surplus-value and such material developments of in fact the profit process and accumulation of capital – recently Microsoft in the world and also Mercauser in Spain. I mean the institutional structures are then in fact mainstreaming more and more capital and attacking the poorest peasants and working class. Which in the context of India is in fact trade union and peasant union based mass strikes.

I argue then in line with this comes uneven development of the poorest people in the world. Now Sirohi is a Trotskyist Naxal and Liberal supporting person, which means he is in history as the key leader of contemporary left. He though prefers to be French philosopher which is his love for philosophy. We argue the recent event was "politician" – he points out the practico-inert field of exploitation means in fact that the poor do not

receive wages unless one unpacks the category of the peasants as receiving the wage in a take from the peasant struggle protest situation – it means the situations of protests have a specific demand and a specific local magistrate from whom they picket money-wage.

One has to therefore transition to co-operatives and such developments in Cuba which also just manage the production process and along with a class struggle co-efficient it becomes about the success of protests and all its demands followed as a general analytic of resistance. That is then liberation – not in fact as Spain would argue, to transfer a value to the poor in a co-efficient without class action accounted for. Something like an analytic of practico-inert field of exploitation distinct from their concrete truth.